UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/085,780	02/28/2002	Kurt Frieden	2501494-881200	2040

29585   7590   05/26/2005

DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP
153 TOWNSEND STREET
SUITE 800
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94107-1907

EXAMINER
PANNALA, SATHYANARAYA R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2167	

DATE MAILED: 05/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/085,780	FRIEDEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sathyanarayan Pannala	2167	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>3</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1)☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on *17 March 2005*.

2a)☒ This action is **FINAL**.   2b)☐ This action is non-final.

3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4)☒ Claim(s) *1-8,14-24,30-40,46-56 and 62-64* is/are pending in the application.

    4a) Of the above claim(s) *9-13, 25-29, 41-455,57-61 and 65-82* is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6)☒ Claim(s) *1-8,14-24,30-40,46-56 and 62-64* is/are rejected.

7)☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8)☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.

    Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

    Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).

    a)☐ All   b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:

      1.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

      2.☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.

      3.☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

    * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1)☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

2)☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3)☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

4)☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
    Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

5)☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)☐ Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1.   Applicants' Amendment filed on 3/17/2005 with amended claims 1, 14, 16-17, 30,

32-33, 46, 48-49, 62 and 64 and withdrawn claims 9-13, 25-29, 41-45, 57-61 and 65-82.

Claims 1-8, 14-24, 30-40, 46-56 and 62-64 are pending in this Office Action.

Specification

2.   The Amended abstract filed on 3/17/2005 with the amendment is entered and is

approved by the Examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3.   The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly

claiming the subject matter, which the applicant regards as his invention.

4.   Claims 1, 14, 17 30, 33, 46, 49, 62 and 64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112,

second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly

claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. For example, the

amended claim 1, limitation states as "selecting a second record by indicating a

relational characteristic of the identified record." The amendment supporting statement

in the Remarks on page 30 paragraph 1, lines 1-3, is referring to the Table 1, which is

vague. The two terms "second record" and "relational characteristic" need more

clarification without adding a new subject matter and amend the claims to be more

specific and supportive to the specification. Examiner, assumed for examination

purposes, the second record as the next record in sequence as shown in the table, after

identifying the first record.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5.   The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public

use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

6.   Claims 1-4, 8,14, 16-20, 24, 30, 32-36, 40, 46, 48-52, 56, 62 and 64 are rejected

under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Exley et al. (US Patent 5,724,577)

hereinafter Exley.

7.   Exley anticipated independent claim 1 by teaching a computer data organization

method in which data is organized in a hierarchical outline with each data element

having a key field with a unique identifier to the data element (col. 2, lines 7-11). Exley

teaches the claimed step of "identifying one of the records in the hierarchical set of

records" as the hierarchical screen 22 prompts a user to input data as headings in an

outline format, in which children of a parent heading are established in the database

(Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 8-11). Further, Exley teaches the claimed step of "selecting a

second record by indicating a relational characteristic of the identified record" as the

child of a parent heading are established in the database by indicating the children (Fig.

2, col. 3, lines 11-12). Further, Exley teaches the claimed step of "modifying the tag

based on the relational characteristic and a predetermined numeric manning of related

records, thereby producing a key corresponding to the second record that is related to

the identified record by the relational characteristic" as a key (tag) unique to the heading

is preferably automatically generated by a key generator 21, such as time of the day

clock or a unique may be manually entered by an operator (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 15-21).

Further, Exley teaches the claimed step of "indexing the hierarchical set of the records

only once, thereby selecting the second record within the hierarchical set of records,

wherein indexing the hierarchical set of records only once comprises applying the key to

the hierarchical set of records" as each element in the hierarchical database has at least

one row in the relational data table with the key field establishing a linkage between the

two databases, which is not changed or altered when the data in the hierarchical

database is changed or altered by the user performing standard operations on the

hierarchical data (Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 32-39). Finally, Exley teaches the claimed step of

"retrieving the selected record wherein the key comprises a second tag uniquely

identifying the second record" as retrieving data using simple commands (Fig. 4, col. 4,

lines 22-27).

8.   As per dependent claim 2, Exley teaches the claimed step of "selecting those of

the records in the hierarchical set of the records having a tag that matches the key" as

the user can retrieve and display relational information associated with the unique keys

of the data elements in the temporary subset of the hierarchical information structure 42

(Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 27-44).

9.   As per dependent claim 3, Exley teaches the claimed step of "receiving a

selection of the one of the records from a user" as the user types a heading then can

press one key to display, add or edit any other attributes (Fig. 4, col. 3, lines 55-58).

Further, Exley teaches the claimed step of "receiving a command from the user" as

placing the cursor on the line enables the user to display all fields of the record (Fig. 4,

col. 4, lines 12-13). Finally, Exley teaches the claimed step of "modifying the tag is

based on the command from the user" as the key field can be entered automatically or

from a pick-list or typed in by the user 32 (Fig. 4, col. 3, lines 58-60).

10.   As per dependent claim 4, Exley teaches the claimed step of "displaying a field of

each of the retrieved records on a display, wherein the position of each of the fields on

the display represents the hierarchical depth and hierarchical level of the corresponding

one of the retrieved records" as displaying data from relational database table a may

display all relational database entries with a selected parameter attribute or range of

parameter attributes (Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 6-12).

11.   As per dependent claim 8, Exley teaches the claimed step of "each of the records

represents one of a message and a folder" in the current invention involves the

organization hierarchical data using a key (tag) and the record may contain any kind of

data fields as allowed in a standard hierarchical database (col. 2, lines 7-16).

12.   Exley anticipated independent claim 14 by teaching a computer data organization

method in which data is organized in a hierarchical outline with each data element

having a key field with a unique identifier to the data element (col. 2, lines 7-11). Exley

teaches the claimed step of "identifying one of records in the hierarchical set of records"

as the hierarchical screen 22 prompts a user to input data as headings in an outline

format in which children of a parent heading are established in the database (Fig. 2, col.

3, lines 8-11). Further, Exley teaches the claimed step of "selecting a second record by

indicating a relational characteristic of the identified record" as the child of a parent

heading are established in the database by indicating the children (Fig. 2, col. 3,

lines 11-12). Further, Exley teaches the claimed step of "modifying the tag based on

the relational characteristic and a predetermined numeric manning of related records"

as a key (tag) unique to the heading is preferably automatically generated by a key

generator 21,such as time of the day clock or a unique may be manually entered by an

operator (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 15-21). Finally, Exley teaches the claimed step of

"indexing the hierarchical set of records only once, thereby selecting the second record

within the hierarchical set of records, wherein indexing the hierarchical set of records

only once comprises applying the modified tag to the hierarchical set of records" as

each element in the hierarchical database has at least one row in the relational data

table with the key field establishing a linkage between the two databases, which is not

changed or altered when the data in the hierarchical database is changed or altered by

the user performing standard operations on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3, col. 3,

lines 32-39).

13. . As per dependent claim 16, Exley teaches the claimed step of "selecting those of

the records in the hierarchical set of the records having a tag that matches the modified

tag" as the user can retrieve and display relational information associated with the

unique keys of the data elements in the temporary subset of the hierarchical information

structure 42 (Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 27-44).

14. Exley anticipated independent claim 17 (this claim is for a computer program) by

teaching a computer data organization method in which data is organized in a

hierarchical outline with each data element having a key field with a unique identifier to

the data element (col. 2, lines 7-11). Exley teaches the claimed "identifying one of the

records in the hierarchical set of records" as the hierarchical screen 22 prompts a user

to input data as headings in an outline format, in which children of a parent heading are

established in the database (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 8-11). Further, Exley teaches the

claimed step of "selecting a second record by indicating a relational characteristic of the

identified record" as the child of a parent heading are established in the database by

indicating the children (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 11-12). Further, Exley teaches the claimed

step of "modifying the tag based on the relational characteristic and a predetermined

numeric manning of related records, thereby producing a key corresponding to the

second record that is related to the identified record by the relational characteristic" as a

key (tag) unique to the heading is preferably automatically generated by a key generator

21,such as time of the day clock or a unique may be manually entered by an operator

(Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 15-21). Further, Exley teaches the claimed "indexing the

hierarchical set of records only once, thereby selecting one or more of the records

within the hierarchical set of records, wherein indexing the hierarchical set of records

only once comprises applying the key to the hierarchical set of records" as each

element in the hierarchical database has at least one row in the relational data table

with the key field establishing a linkage between the two databases, which is not

changed or altered when the data in the hierarchical database is changed or altered by

the user performing standard operations on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3, col. 3,

lines 32-39). Finally, Exley teaches the claimed "retrieving the selected records wherein

the key comprises a second tag uniquely identifying the second record" as retrieving

data using simple commands (Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 22-27).

15.   As per dependent claim 18, Exley teaches the claimed "selecting those of

the records in the hierarchical set of the records having a tag that matches

the key" as the user can retrieve and display relational information associated with the

unique keys of the data elements in the temporary subset of the hierarchical information

structure 42 (Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 27-44).

16.   As per dependent claim 19, Exley teaches the claimed "receiving a selection of

the one of the records from a user" as the user types a heading then can press one key

to display, add or edit any other attributes (Fig. 4, col. 3, lines 55-58). Further, Exley

teaches the claimed receiving a command from the user" as placing the cursor on the

line enables the user to display all fields of the record (Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 12-13).

Finally, Exley teaches the claimed "modifying the tag is based on the command from the

user" as the key field can be entered automatically or from a pick-list or typed in by the

user 32 (Fig. 4, col. 3, lines 58-60).

17.   As per dependent claim 20, Exley teaches the claimed "displaying a field of each

of the retrieved records on a display, wherein the position of each of the fields on the

display represents the hierarchical depth and hierarchical level of the corresponding one

of the retrieved records" as displaying data from relational database table a may display

all relational database entries with a selected parameter attribute or range of parameter

attributes (Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 6-12).

18.   As per dependent claim 24, Exley teaches the claimed "each of the records

represents one of a message and a folder" in the current invention involves the

organization hierarchical data using a key (tag) and the record may contain any kind of

data fields as allowed in a standard hierarchical database (col. 2, lines 7-16).

19.   Exley anticipated independent claim 30 (this claim is for a computer program) by

teaching a computer data organization method in which data is organized in a

hierarchical outline with each data element having a key field with a unique identifier to

the data element (col. 2, lines 7-11). Exley teaches the claimed step of "identifying one

of the records in the hierarchical set of records" as the hierarchical screen 22 prompts a

user to input data as headings in an outline format, in which children of a parent

heading are established in the database (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 8-11). Further, Exley

teaches the claimed step of "selecting a second record by indicating a relational

characteristic of the identified record" as the child of a parent heading are established in

the database by indicating the children (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 11-12). Further, Exley

teaches the claimed step of "modifying the tag based on the relational characteristic and

a predetermined numeric manning of related records" as a key (tag) unique to the

heading is preferably automatically generated by a key generator 21,such as time of the

day clock or a unique may be manually entered by an operator (Fig. 2, col. 3,

lines 15-21). Further, Exley teaches the claimed step of "indexing the hierarchical set of

records only once, thereby selecting the second record within the hierarchical set of

records, wherein indexing the hierarchical set of records only once comprises applying

the modified tag to the hierarchical set of the record" as each element in the hierarchical

database has at least one row in the relational data table with the key field establishing

a linkage between the two databases, which is not changed or altered when the data in

the hierarchical database is changed or altered by the user performing standard

operations on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 32-39).

20.   As per dependent claim 32, Exley teaches the claimed "selecting those of

the records in the hierarchical set of the records having a tag that matches the modified

tag" as the user can retrieve and display relational information associated with the

unique keys of the data elements in the temporary subset of the hierarchical information

structure 42 (Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 27-44).

21.   Exley anticipated independent claim 33 (this claim is for an apparatus) by

teaching a computer data organization method in which data is organized in a

hierarchical outline with each data element having a key field with a unique identifier to

the data element (col. 2, lines 7-11). Exley teaches the claimed "identifying one of the

records in the hierarchical set of records" as the hierarchical screen 22 prompts a user

to input data as headings in an outline format, in which children of a parent heading are

established in the database (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 8-11). Further, Exley teaches the

claimed step of "selecting a second (Examiner assumes as the next) record by

indicating a relational characteristic of the identified record" as the child of a parent

heading are established in the database by indicating the children (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines

11-12). Further, Exley teaches the claimed "modifying the tag based on the relational

characteristic and a predetermined numeric manning of related records, thereby

producing a key corresponding to the second record that is related to the identified

record by the relational characteristic" as a key (tag) unique to the heading is preferably

automatically generated by a key generator 21, such as time of the day clock or a

unique may be manually entered by an operator (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 15-21). Further,

Exley teaches the claimed "indexing the hierarchical set of records only once, thereby

selecting the second record within the hierarchical set of records, wherein indexing the

hierarchical set of records only once comprises applying the key to the hierarchical set

of records" as each element in the hierarchical database has at least one row in the

relational data table with the key field establishing a linkage between the two databases,

which is not changed or altered when the data in the hierarchical database is changed

or altered by the user performing standard operations on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3,

col. 3, lines 32-39). Finally, Exley teaches the claimed "retrieving the selected records

wherein the key comprises a second tag uniquely identifying the second record" as

retrieving data using simple commands (Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 22-27).

22.   As per dependent claim 34, Exley teaches the claimed "selecting those of the

records in the hierarchical set of the records having a tag that matches the key" as the

user can retrieve and display relational information associated with the unique keys of

the data elements in the temporary subset of the hierarchical information structure 42

(Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 27-44).

23.   As per dependent claim 35, Exley teaches the claimed "receiving a selection of

the one of the records from a user" as the user types a heading then can press one key

to display, add or edit any other attributes (Fig. 4, col. 3, lines 55-58). Further, Exley

teaches the claimed receiving a command from the user" as placing the cursor on the

line enables the user to display all fields of the record (Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 12-13).

Finally, Exley teaches the claimed "modifying the tag is based on the command from the

user" as the key field can be entered automatically or from a pick-list or typed in by the

user 32 (Fig. 4, col. 3, lines 58-60).

24.   As per dependent claim 36, Exley teaches the claimed "displaying a field of each

of the retrieved records on a display, wherein the position of each of the fields on the

display represents the hierarchical depth and hierarchical level of the corresponding one

of the retrieved records" as displaying data from relational database table a may display

all relational database entries with a selected parameter attribute or range of parameter

attributes (Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 6-12).

25.   As per dependent claim 40, Exley teaches the claimed "each of the records

represents one of a message and a folder" in the current invention involves the

organization hierarchical data using a key (tag) and the record may contain any kind of

data fields as allowed in a standard hierarchical database (col. 2, lines 7-16).

26.   Exley anticipated independent claim 46 (this claim is for an apparatus) by

teaching a computer data organization method in which data is organized in a

hierarchical outline with each data element having a key field with a unique identifier to

the data element (col. 2, lines 7-11).   Exley teaches the claimed "identifying one of the

records in the hierarchical set of records" as the hierarchical screen 22 prompts a user

to input data as headings in an outline format, in which children of a parent heading are

established in the database (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 8-11). Further, Exley teaches the

claimed step of "selecting a second (Examiner assumes as the next) record by

indicating a relational characteristic of the identified record" as the child of a parent

heading are established in the database by indicating the children (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines

11-12). Further, Exley teaches the claimed step of "modifying the tag based on the

relational characteristic and a predetermined numeric manning of related records" as a

key (tag) unique to the heading is preferably automatically generated by a key generator

21,such as time of the day clock or a unique may be manually entered by an operator

(Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 15-21). Further, Exley teaches the claimed step of "indexing the

hierarchical set of records only once, thereby selecting the second record within the

hierarchical set of records, wherein means for indexing the hierarchical set of records

only once comprises means for applying the modified tag to the hierarchical set of

records" as each element in the hierarchical database has at least one row in the

relational data table with the key field establishing a linkage between the two databases,

which is not changed or altered when the data in the hierarchical database is changed

or altered by the user performing standard operations on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3,

col. 3, lines 32-39).

27.   As per dependent claim 48, Exley teaches the claimed "selecting those of the

records in the hierarchical set of the records having a tag that matches the modified tag"

as the user can retrieve and display relational information associated with the unique

keys of the data elements in the temporary subset of the hierarchical information

structure 42 (Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 27-44).

28.   Exley anticipated independent claim 49 (this claim is for a computer-readable

media) by teaching a computer data organization method in which data is organized in a

hierarchical outline with each data element having a key field with a unique identifier to

the data element (col. 2, lines 7-11). Exley teaches the claimed "identifying one of the

records in the hierarchical set of records" as the hierarchical screen 22 prompts a user

to input data as headings in an outline format, in which children of a parent heading are

established in the database (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 8-11). Further, Exley teaches the

claimed step of "selecting a second (Examiner assumes as the next) record by

indicating a relational characteristic of the identified record" as the child of a parent

heading are established in the database by indicating the children (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines

11-12). Further, Exley teaches the claimed "modifying the tag based on the relational

characteristic and a predetermined numeric manning of related records, thereby

producing a key corresponding to the second record that is related to the identified

record by the relational characteristic" as a key (tag) unique to the heading is preferably

automatically generated by a key generator 21, such as time of the day clock or a

unique may be manually entered by an operator (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 15-21). Further,

Exley teaches the claimed "indexing the hierarchical set of records only once, thereby

selecting the second record within the hierarchical set of records, wherein indexing the

hierarchical set of records only once comprises applying the key to the hierarchical set

of records" as each element in the hierarchical database has at least one row in the

relational data table with the key field establishing a linkage between the two databases,

which is not changed or altered when the data in the hierarchical database is changed

or altered by the user performing standard operations on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3,

col. 3, lines 32-39). Finally, Exley teaches the claimed "retrieving the selected records

wherein the key comprises a second tag uniquely identifying the second record" as

retrieving data using simple commands (Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 22-27).

29.   As per dependent claim 50, Exley teaches the claimed "selecting those of the

records in the hierarchical set of the records having a tag that matches the key" as the

user can retrieve and display relational information associated with the unique keys of

the data elements in the temporary subset of the hierarchical information structure 42

(Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 27-44).

30.   As per dependent claim 51, Exley teaches the claimed "receiving a selection of

the one of the records from a user" as the user types a heading then can press one key

to display, add or edit any other attributes (Fig. 4, col. 3, lines 55-58). Further, Exley

teaches the claimed receiving a command from the user" as placing the cursor on the

line enables the user to display all fields of the record (Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 12-13).

Finally, Exley teaches the claimed "modifying the tag is based on the command from the

user" as the key field can be entered automatically or from a pick-list or typed in by the

user 32 (Fig. 4, col. 3, lines 58-60).

31.   As per dependent claim 52, Exley teaches the claimed "displaying a field of each

of the retrieved records on a display, wherein the position of each of the fields on the

display represents the hierarchical depth and hierarchical level of the corresponding

one of the retrieved records" as displaying data from relational database table a may

display all relational database entries with a selected parameter attribute or range of

parameter attributes (Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 6-12).

32.   As per dependent claim 56, Exley teaches the claimed "each of the records

represents one of a message and a folder" in the current invention involves the

organization hierarchical data using a key (tag) and the record may contain any kind of

data fields as allowed in a standard hierarchical database (col. 2, lines 7-16).

33.   Exley anticipated independent claim 62 (this claim for a computer-readable

media) by teaching a computer data organization method in which data is organized in a

hierarchical outline with each data element having a key field with a unique identifier to

the data element (col. 2, lines 7-11).   Exley teaches the claimed "identifying one of the

records in the hierarchical set of records" as the hierarchical screen 22 prompts a user

to input data as headings in an outline format, in which children of a parent heading are

established in the database (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines 8-11). Further, Exley teaches the

claimed step of "selecting a second (Examiner assumes as the next) record by

indicating a relational characteristic of the identified record" as the child of a parent

heading are established in the database by indicating the children (Fig. 2, col. 3, lines

11-12). Further, Exley teaches the claimed "modifying the tag based on the relational

characteristic and a predetermined numeric manning of related records" as a key (tag)

unique to the heading is preferably automatically generated by a key generator 21,such

as time of the day clock or a unique may be manually entered by an operator (Fig. 2,

col. 3, lines 15-21). Further, Exley teaches the claimed "indexing the hierarchical set of

records only once, thereby selecting the second record within the hierarchical set of

records, wherein indexing the hierarchical set of records only once comprises applying

the modified tag to the hierarchical set of the record" as each element in the hierarchical

database has at least one row in the relational data table with the key field establishing

a linkage between the two databases, which is not changed or altered when the data in

the hierarchical database is changed or altered by the user performing standard

operations on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 32-39).

34.   As per dependent claim 64 (this claim for a computer-readable media), Exley

teaches the claimed "selecting those of the records in the hierarchical set of the records

having a tag that matches the modified tag" as the user can retrieve and display

relational information associated with the unique keys of the data elements in the

temporary subset of the hierarchical information structure 42 (Fig. 4, col. 4, lines 27-44).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

35.   The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

> (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described
> as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be
> patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the
> time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter
> pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

> This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims
> under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was
> commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the
> contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and
> invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in
> order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e),
> (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

36.   Claims 5-7, 15, 21-23, 31, 37-39, 47, 53-55 and 63 are rejected under 35

U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Exley et al. (US Patent 5,724,577) hereinafter

Exley, and in view of Shadmon (US Patent 6,675,173).

37.   As per dependent claim 5, Exley teaches the manipulating the key by entering

automatically, or from a pick-list or typed in by the user and after establishing a linkage

between the two databases, which is not changed or altered by the user performing

standard operation on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 32-39). He explicitly

does not teach manipulating the key numbers/digits. However, Shadmon teaches the

claimed step of "each tag is a number having a plurality of digits" as digital tree structure

constructed is shown (col. 10, lines 40-45 and col. 14, lines 64-66). Shadmon teaches

the claimed step of "the position of each of the digits represents one of the hierarchical

depths" as the depth position in the key represented by the node (Fig. 3A, col. 2, lines

10-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed step of "the value of each of the digits

represents one of the hierarchical levels" as common key value represents for example

upper level, lower level, etc. (Fig. 4, col. 10, lines 16-25). Shadmon teaches the

claimed step of "modifying the tag comprises: selecting at least one of the digits

according to the command from the user" as the data record value record is 1 (Fig. 5B,

col. 10, lines 58-61). Shadmon teaches the claimed step of "changing the value of the

selected digits according to the command from the user" as shown in the example

(Fig. 5E, col. 12, lines 16-24). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

in the data processing art at the time of the invention to combine the teaching of the

cited references because Shadmon's teachings would have allowed Exley's method to

manipulate separately key digits to represent a hierarchical record to balance the tree

structure and optimize for disk-based access like B-trees (col. 2, lines 33-35).

38.   As per dependent claim 6, Exley teaches the manipulating the key by entering

automatically, or from a pick-list or typed in by the user and after establishing a linkage

between the two databases, which is not changed or altered by the user performing

standard operation on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 32-39). He explicitly

does not teach manipulating the key numbers/digits. However, Shadmon teaches the

claimed step of "each tag is a number having a plurality of digits" as digital tree structure

constructed is shown (col. 10, lines 40-45 and col. 14, lines 64-66). Shadmon teaches

the claimed step of "the position of each of the digits represents one of the hierarchical

depths" as the depth position in the key represented by the node (Fig. 3A, col. 2,

lines 10-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed step of "the value of each of the digits

represents one of the hierarchical levels" as common key value represents for example

upper level, lower level, etc. (Fig. 4, col. 10, lines 16-25). Shadmon teaches the

claimed step of "the command from the user requests retrieving the children of the

identified record" as the data record value record is 1 (Fig. 5B, col. 10, lines 58-61).

Shadmon teaches the claimed step of "modifying the tag comprises: selecting the digit

corresponding to the hierarchical depth of the identified record" as shown in the

example (Fig. 5E, col. 12, lines 16-24). Shadmon teaches the claimed step of "setting

the value of each digit corresponding to a hierarchical depth below the hierarchical

depth corresponding to the selected digit to a wildcard value" as by constructing n

(where n >= 1) vertical oriented digital tree structure levels which, along with said first

digital tree structure constitute the n+1 vertical oriented digital tree structure levels

(col. 2, lines 56-65). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

data processing art at the time of the invention to combine the teaching of the cited

references because Shadmon's teachings would have allowed Exley's method to

manipulate separately key digits to represent a hierarchical record to balance the tree

structure and optimize for disk-based access like B-trees (col. 2, lines 33-35).

39.   As per dependent claim 7, Exley teaches the manipulating the key by entering

automatically, or from a pick-list or typed in by the user and after establishing a linkage

between the two databases, which is not changed or altered by the user performing

standard operation on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 32-39). He does not

explicitly does not teach manipulating the key numbers/digits. However, Shadmon

teaches the claimed step of "each tag is a number having a plurality of digits" as digital

tree structure constructed is shown (col. 10, lines 40-45 and col. 14, lines 64-66).

Shadmon teaches the claimed step of "the position of each of the digits represents one

of the hierarchical depths" as the depth position in the key represented by the node (Fig.

3A, col. 2, lines 10-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed step of "the value of each of the

digits represents one of the hierarchical levels" as common key value represents for

example upper level, lower level, etc. (Fig. 4, col. 10, lines 16-25). Shadmon teaches

the claimed step of "the command from the user requests retrieving the parent of the

identified record" as the nodes are labeled with their depth and nodes with one child are

compressed into the parent node, so that all nodes have at least two children (Fig. 3A,

col. 2, lines 6-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed step of "modifying the tag comprises:

selecting the digit corresponding to the hierarchical depth of the identified record" as

shown in the example (Fig. 5E, col. 12, lines 16-24). Shadmon teaches the claimed

step of "setting the value of the selected digit to a null value" as the offset size in terms

of number of bits that is accommodated within each node may be altered, the manner of

realizing pointers that point to null, i.e., having no children (col. 9, lines 34-36). Thus, it

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of

the invention to combine the teaching of the cited references because Shadmon's

teachings would have allowed Exley's method to manipulate separately key digits to

represent a hierarchical record to balance the tree structure and optimize for disk-based

access like B-trees (col. 2, lines 33-35).

40.   As per dependent claim 15, Exley teaches the manipulating the key by entering

automatically, or from a pick-list or typed in by the user and after establishing a linkage

between the two databases, which is not changed or altered by the user performing

standard operation on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 32-39). He explicitly

does not teach manipulating the key numbers/digits. However, Shadmon teaches the

claimed step of "each tag includes a plurality of digits" as digital tree structure

constructed is shown (col. 10, lines 40-45 and col. 14, lines 64-66). Shadmon teaches

the claimed step of "the position of each of the digits represents one of the hierarchical

depths " as the depth position in the key represented by the node (Fig. 3A, col. 2,

lines 10-12). Finally, Shadmon teaches the claimed step of "the value of each of the

digits represents one of the hierarchical levels" as common key value represents for

example upper level, lower level, etc. (Fig. 4, col. 10, lines 16-25). Thus, it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the

invention to combine the teaching of the cited references because Shadmon's teachings

would have allowed Exley's method to manipulate separately key digits to represent a

hierarchical record to balance the tree structure and optimize for disk-based access like

B-trees (col. 2, lines 33-35).

41. As per dependent claim 21 (this claim is for a computer program), Exley teaches

the manipulating the key by entering automatically, or from a pick-list or typed in by the

user and after establishing a linkage between the two databases, which is not changed

or altered by the user performing standard operation on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3,

col. 3, lines 32-39). He explicitly does not teach manipulating the key numbers/digits.

However, Shadmon teaches the claimed "each tag is a number having a plurality of

digits" as digital tree structure constructed is shown (col. 10, lines 40-45 and col. 14,

lines 64-66). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the position of each of the digits

represents one of the hierarchical depths" as the depth position in the key represented

by the node (Fig. 3A, col. 2, lines 10-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the value of

each of the digits represents one of the hierarchical levels" as common key value

represents for example upper level, lower level, etc. (Fig. 4, col. 10, lines 16-25).

Shadmon teaches the claimed "modifying the tag comprises: selecting at least one

of the digits according to the command from the user" as the data record value record is

1 (Fig. 5B, col. 10, lines 58-61). Shadmon teaches the claimed "changing the value

of the selected digits according to the command from the user" as shown in the example

(Fig. 5E, col. 12, lines 16-24). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

in the data processing art at the time of the invention to combine the teaching of the

cited references because Shadmon's teachings would have allowed Exley's method to

manipulate separately key digits to represent a hierarchical record to balance the tree

structure and optimize for disk-based access like B-trees (col. 2, lines 33-35).

42.   As per dependent claim 22 (this claim is for a computer program), Exley teaches

the manipulating the key by entering automatically, or from a pick-list or typed in by the

user and after establishing a linkage between the two databases, which is not changed

or altered by the user performing standard operation on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3,

col. 3, lines 32-39). He explicitly does not teach manipulating the key numbers/digits.

However, Shadmon teaches the claimed "each tag is a number having a plurality of

digits" as digital tree structure constructed is shown (col. 10, lines 40-45 and col. 14,

lines 64-66). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the position of each of the digits

represents one of the hierarchical depths" as the depth position in the key represented

by the node (Fig. 3A, col. 2, lines 10-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the value of

each of the digits represents one of the hierarchical levels" as the data record value

record is 1 (Fig. 5B, col. 10, lines 58-61). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the command

from the user requests retrieving the children of the identified record" as shown in the

example (Fig. 5E, col. 12, lines 16-24). Shadmon teaches the claimed "modifying the

tag comprises: selecting the digit corresponding to the hierarchical depth of the

identified record" as shown in the example (Fig. 5E, col. 12, lines 16-24). Shadmon

teaches the claimed "setting the value of each digit corresponding to a hierarchical

depth below the hierarchical depth corresponding to the selected digit to a wildcard

value" as by constructing n (where n >= 1) vertical oriented digital tree structure levels

which, along with said first digital tree structure constitute the n+1 vertical oriented

digital tree structure levels (col. 2, lines 56-65). Thus, it would have been obvious to

one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the invention to combine the

teaching of the cited references because Shadmon's teachings would have allowed

Exley's method to manipulate separately key digits to represent a hierarchical record to

balance the tree structure and optimize for disk-based access like B-trees (col. 2, lines

33-35).

43.   As per dependent claim 23 (this claim is for a computer program), Exley teaches

the manipulating the key by entering automatically, or from a pick-list or typed in by the

user and after establishing a linkage between the two databases, which is not changed

or altered by the user performing standard operation on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3,

col. 3, lines 32-39). He does not explicitly does not teach manipulating the key

numbers/digits. However, Shadmon teaches the claimed "each tag is a number having

a plurality of digits" as digital tree structure constructed is shown (col. 10, lines 40-45

and col. 14, lines 64-66). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the position of each of the

digits represents one of the hierarchical depths" as the depth position in the key

represented by the node (Fig. 3A, col. 2, lines 10-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed

"the value of each of the digits represents one of the hierarchical levels" as common key

value represents for example upper level, lower level, etc. (Fig. 4, col. 10, lines 16-25).

Shadmon teaches the claimed "the command from the user requests retrieving the

parent of the identified record" as the nodes are labeled with their depth and nodes with

one child are compressed into the parent node, so that all nodes have at least two

children (Fig. 3A, col. 2, lines 6-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed "modifying the tag

comprises: selecting the digit corresponding to the hierarchical depth of the identified

record" as shown in the example (Fig. 5E, col. 12, lines 16-24). Shadmon teaches the

claimed "setting the value of the selected digit to a null value" as the offset size in terms

of number of bits that is accommodated within each node may be altered, the manner of

realizing pointers that point to null, i.e., having no children (col. 9, lines 34-36). Thus, it

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of

the invention to combine the teaching of the cited references because Shadmon's

teachings would have allowed Exley's method to manipulate separately key digits to

represent a hierarchical record to balance the tree structure and optimize for disk-based

access like B-trees (col. 2, lines 33-35).

44.   As per dependent claim 31 (this claim if for a computer program), Exley teaches

the manipulating the key by entering automatically, or from a pick-list or typed in by the

user and after establishing a linkage between the two databases, which is not changed

or altered by the user performing standard operation on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3,

col. 3, lines 32-39). He explicitly does not teach manipulating the key numbers/digits.

However, Shadmon teaches the claimed "each tag includes a plurality of digits" as

digital tree structure constructed is shown (col. 10, lines 40-45 and col. 14, lines 64-66).

Shadmon teaches the claimed "the position of each of the digits represents one of the

hierarchical depths " as the depth position in the key represented by the node (Fig. 3A,

col. 2, lines 10-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the value of each of the digits

represents one of the hierarchical levels " as common key value represents for example

upper level, lower level, etc. (Fig. 4, col. 10, lines 16-25). Thus, it would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the invention to

combine the teaching of the cited references because Shadmon's teachings would have

allowed Exley's method to manipulate separately key digits to represent a hierarchical

record to balance the tree structure and optimize for disk-based access like B-trees

(col. 2, lines 33-35).

37. As per dependent claim 37 (this claim is for an apparatus), Exley teaches the

manipulating the key by entering automatically, or from a pick-list or typed in by the user

and after establishing a linkage between the two databases, which is not changed or

altered by the user performing standard operation on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3, col. 3,

lines 32-39). He explicitly does not teach manipulating the key numbers/digits.

However, Shadmon teaches the claimed "each tag is a number having a plurality of

digits" as digital tree structure constructed is shown (col. 10, lines 40-45 and col. 14,

lines 64-66). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the position of each of the digits

represents one of the hierarchical depths" as the depth position in the key represented

by the node (Fig. 3A, col. 2, lines 10-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the value of

each of the digits represents one of the hierarchical levels" as common key value

represents for example upper level, lower level, etc. (Fig. 4, col. 10, lines 16-25).

Shadmon teaches the claimed "modifying the tag comprises: selecting at least one of

the digits according to the command from the user" as the data record value record is 1

(Fig. 5B, col. 10, lines 58-61). Shadmon teaches the claimed "changing the value of the

selected digits according to the command from the user" as shown in the example (Fig.

5E, col. 12, lines 16-24). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

data processing art at the time of the invention to combine the teaching of the cited

references because Shadmon's teachings would have allowed Exley's method to

manipulate separately key digits to represent a hierarchical record to balance the tree

structure and optimize for disk-based access like B-trees (col. 2, lines 33-35).

45.   As per dependent claim 38 (this claim is for an apparatus), Exley teaches the

manipulating the key by entering automatically, or from a pick-list or typed in by the user

and after establishing a linkage between the two databases, which is not changed or

altered by the user performing standard operation on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3, col. 3,

lines 32-39). He explicitly does not teach manipulating the key numbers/digits.

However, Shadmon teaches the claimed "each tag is a number having a plurality of

digits" as digital tree structure constructed is shown (col. 10, lines 40-45 and col. 14,

lines 64-66). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the position of each of the digits

represents one of the hierarchical depths" as the depth position in the key represented

by the node (Fig. 3A, col. 2, lines 10-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the value of

each of the digits represents one of the hierarchical levels" as common key value

represents for example upper level, lower level, etc. (Fig. 4, col. 10, lines 16-25).

Shadmon teaches the claimed "the command from the user requests retrieving the

children of the identified record" as the data record value record is 1 (Fig. 5B, col. 10,

lines 58-61). Shadmon teaches the claimed "modifying the tag comprises: selecting the

digit corresponding to the hierarchical depth of the identified record" as shown in the

example (Fig. 5E, col. 12, lines 16-24). Shadmon teaches the claimed "setting the

value of each digit corresponding

to a hierarchical depth below the hierarchical depth corresponding to the selected digit

to a wildcard value" as by constructing n (where n >= 1) vertical oriented digital tree

structure levels which, along with said first digital tree structure constitute the n+1

vertical oriented digital tree structure levels · (col. 2, lines 56-65). Thus, it would have

been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the

invention to combine the teaching of the cited references because Shadmon's teachings

would have allowed Exley's method to manipulate separately key digits to represent a

hierarchical record to balance the tree structure and optimize for disk-based access like

B-trees (col. 2, lines 33-35).

46.   As per dependent claim 39 (this claim is for an apparatus), Exley teaches the

manipulating the key by entering automatically, or from a pick-list or typed in by the user

and after establishing a linkage between the two databases, which is not changed or

altered by the user performing standard operation on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3, col. 3,

lines 32-39). He does not explicitly does not teach manipulating the key numbers/digits.

However, Shadmon teaches the claimed "each tag is a number having a plurality of

digits" as digital tree structure constructed is shown (col. 10, lines 40-45 and col. 14,

lines 64-66). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the position of each of the digits

represents one of the hierarchical depths" as the depth position in the key represented

by the node (Fig. 3A, col. 2, lines 10-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the value of

each of the digits represents one of the hierarchical levels" as common key value

represents for example upper level, lower level, etc. (Fig. 4, col. 10, lines 16-25).

Shadmon teaches the claimed "the command from the user requests retrieving the

parent of the identified record" as the nodes are labeled with their depth and nodes with

one child are compressed into the parent node, so that all nodes have at least two

children (Fig. 3A, col. 2, lines 6-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed "modifying the tag

comprises: selecting the digit corresponding to the hierarchical depth of the identified

record" as shown in the example (Fig. 5E, col. 12, lines 16-24). Shadmon teaches the

claimed "means for setting the value of the selected digit to a null value" as the offset

size in terms of number of bits that is accommodated within each node may be altered,

the manner of realizing pointers that point to null, i.e., having no children (col. 9, lines

34-36). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing

art at the time of the invention to combine the teaching of the cited references because

Shadmon's teachings would have allowed Exley's method to manipulate separately key

digits to represent a hierarchical record to balance the tree structure and optimize for

disk-based access like B-trees (col. 2, lines 33-35).

47.   As per dependent claim 47 (this claim is for an apparatus), Exley teaches the

manipulating the key by entering automatically, or from a pick-list or typed in by the user

and after establishing a linkage between the two databases, which is not changed or

altered by the user performing standard operation on the hierarchical data (Fig. 3, col. 3,

lines 32-39). He explicitly does not teach manipulating the key numbers/digits.

However, Shadmon teaches the claimed "each tag includes a plurality of digits" as

digital tree structure constructed is shown (col. 10, lines 40-45 and col. 14, lines 64-66).

Shadmon teaches the claimed "the position of each of the digits represents one of the

hierarchical depths" as the depth position in the key represented by the node (Fig. 3A,

col. 2, lines 10-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the value of each of the digits

represents one of the hierarchical levels " as common key value represents for example

upper level, lower level, etc. (Fig. 4, col. 10, lines 16-25). Thus, it would have been

obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the invention to

combine the teaching of the cited references because Shadmon's teachings would have

allowed Exley's method to manipulate separately key digits to represent a hierarchical

record to balance the tree structure and optimize for disk-based access like B-trees

(col. 2, lines 33-35).

48.   As per dependent claim 53 (this claim is for computer-readable media), Exley

teaches the manipulating the key by entering automatically, or from a pick-list or typed

in by the user and after establishing a linkage between the two databases, which is not

changed or altered by the user performing standard operation on the hierarchical data

(Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 32-39). He explicitly does not teach manipulating the key

numbers/digits. However, Shadmon teaches the claimed "each tag is a number having

a plurality of digits" as digital tree structure constructed is shown (col. 10, lines 40-45

and col. 14, lines 64-66). Shadmon teaches the claimed " the position of each of the

digits represents one of the hierarchical depths" as the depth position in the key

represented by the node (Fig. 3A, col. 2, lines 10-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed

"the value of each of the digits represents one of the hierarchical levels" as common key

value represents for example upper level, lower level, etc. (Fig. 4, col. 10, lines 16-25).

Shadmon teaches the claimed "modifying the tag comprises: selecting at least one of

the digits according to the command from the user" as the data record value record is 1

(Fig. 5B, col. 10, lines 58-61). Shadmon teaches the claimed "changing the value of the

selected digits according to the command from the user" as shown in the example

(Fig. 5E, col. 12, lines 16-24). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

in the data processing art at the time of the invention to combine the teaching of the

cited references because Shadmon's teachings would have allowed Exley's method to

manipulate separately key digits to represent a hierarchical record to balance the tree

structure and optimize for disk-based access like B-trees (col. 2, lines 33-35).

49.   As per dependent claim 54 (this claim is for a computer-readable media), Exley

teaches the manipulating the key by entering automatically, or from a pick-list or typed

in by the user and after establishing a linkage between the two databases, which is not

changed or altered by the user performing standard operation on the hierarchical data

(Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 32-39). He explicitly does not teach manipulating the key

numbers/digits. However, Shadmon teaches the claimed "each tag is a number having

a plurality of digits" as digital tree structure constructed is shown (col. 10, lines 40-45

and col. 14, lines 64-66). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the position of each of the

digits represents one of the hierarchical depths" as the depth position in the key

represented by the node (Fig. 3A, col. 2, lines 10-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed

"the value of each of the digits represents one of the hierarchical levels" as common key

value represents for example upper level, lower level, etc. (Fig. 4, col. 10, lines 16-25).

Shadmon teaches the claimed "the command from the user requests retrieving the

children of the identified record" as the data record value record is 1 (Fig. 5B, col. 10,

lines 58-61). Shadmon teaches the claimed "modifying the tag comprises: selecting the

digit corresponding to the hierarchical depth of the identified record" as shown in the

example (Fig. 5E, col. 12, lines 16-24). Shadmon teaches the claimed "setting the

value of each digit corresponding to a hierarchical depth below the hierarchical depth

corresponding to the selected digit to a wildcard value" as by constructing n

(where n >= 1) vertical oriented digital tree structure levels which, along with said first

digital tree structure constitute the n+1 vertical oriented digital tree structure levels

(col. 2, lines 56-65). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the

data processing art at the time of the invention to combine the teaching of the cited

references because Shadmon's teachings would have allowed Exley's method to

manipulate separately key digits to represent a hierarchical record to balance the tree

structure and optimize for disk-based access like B-trees (col. 2, lines 33-35).

50.   As per dependent claim 55 (this claim is for a computer-readable media), Exley

teaches the manipulating the key by entering automatically, or from a pick-list or typed

in by the user and after establishing a linkage between the two databases, which is not

changed or altered by the user performing standard operation on the hierarchical data

(Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 32-39). He does not explicitly does not teach manipulating the key numbers/digits. However, Shadmon teaches the claimed "each tag is a number having a plurality of digits" as digital tree structure constructed is shown (col. 10, lines 40-45 and col. 14, lines 64-66). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the position of each of the digits represents one of the hierarchical depths" as the depth position in the key represented by the node (Fig. 3A, col. 2, lines 10-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the value of each of the digits represents one of the hierarchical levels" as common key value represents for example upper level, lower level, etc. (Fig. 4, col. 10, lines 16-25). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the command from the user requests retrieving the parent of the identified record" as the nodes are labeled with their depth and nodes with one child are compressed into the parent node, so that all nodes have at least two children (Fig. 3A, col. 2, lines 6-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed "modifying the tag comprises: selecting the digit corresponding to the hierarchical depth of the identified record" as shown in the example (Fig. 5E, col. 12, lines 16-24). Shadmon teaches the claimed "setting the value of the selected digit to a null value" as the offset size in terms of number of bits that is accommodated within each node may be altered, the manner of realizing pointers that point to null, i.e., having no children (col. 9, lines 34-36). Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time of the invention to combine the teaching of the cited references because Shadmon's teachings would have allowed Exley's method to manipulate separately key digits to represent a hierarchical record to balance the tree structure and optimize for disk-based access like B-trees (col. 2, lines 33-35).

51.   As per dependent claim 63 (this claim is for a computer-readable media), Exley

teaches the manipulating the key by entering automatically, or from a pick-list or typed

in by the user and after establishing a linkage between the two databases, which is not

changed or altered by the user performing standard operation on the hierarchical data

(Fig. 3, col. 3, lines 32-39). He explicitly does not teach manipulating the key

numbers/digits. However, Shadmon teaches the claimed "each tag includes a plurality

of digits" as digital tree structure constructed is shown (col. 10, lines 40-45 and col. 14,

lines 64-66). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the position of each of the digits

represents one of the hierarchical depths" as the depth position in the key represented

by the node (Fig. 3A, col. 2, lines 10-12). Shadmon teaches the claimed "the value of

each of the digits represents one of the hierarchical levels" as common key value

represents for example upper level, lower level, etc. (Fig. 4, col. 10, lines 16-25). Thus,

it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the data processing art at the time

of the invention to combine the teaching of the cited references because Shadmon's

teachings would have allowed Exley's method to manipulate separately key digits to

represent a hierarchical record to balance and optimize the record in an unbalanced

B-tree (col. 2, lines 33-35).

Response to Arguments

52.   Applicant's arguments filed on 3/17/2005 have been fully considered but they are

not persuasive and details as follows:

a)   Applicant's argument stated as "Although not limiting with respect to

Applicant's invention, Table 1 at section..." (see page 30, paragraph one,

lines 1-3)

In response to the Applicant's argument, Examiner respectfully disagrees

with the applicant because the amended limitation are not clear and specific. For

example, "second record" interpreted as the next record of the identified record.

Amended claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 Second Paragraph because

of very limited information available to interpret the claims because of broader

amended claims.

b)   Applicant's argument stated as "Two separate time and date stamps

corresponding to unique keys of related entries in the system of Exley et al. are

entirely random with respect to each other."

In response to the Applicant's argument, Examiner respectfully disagrees

with the applicant because of the wrong interpretation. For example, while

entering the first record the time and date stamp could be 1030255202005

(unique tag 1) and the next record will have the time stamp of 1031255202005

(unique tag 2) and so on. In this case, how can we call them as random with

respect to one another. Additionally, Exley teaches another option of creating

the unique as "unique key may be manually entered by the operator" (see col. 3,

lines 20-21). If the current invention is geared only toward unique key

generation, similar prior art can be searched and submitted. A recitation of the

intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference

between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish

the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of

performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a

process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as

compared to the prior art. See *In re Casey*, 370 F.2d 576, 152 USPQ 235

(CCPA 1967) and *In re Otto*, 312 F.2d 937, 939, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA

1963).

c)   Applicant's argument stated as "Each of claims 5-7, 15, 21-23, 31, 37-39,

47, 53-55, and 63, as now amended, is allowable over Exley et al. in view of

Shadmon for reasons that follow."

In response to the Applicant's argument, Examiner respectfully disagrees

with the applicant because providing unrealistic information. First, None of the

claims listed above are amended. Second, Exley teaches the claims 1, 2, etc.

The limitations of dependent claims not taught by Exley are rejected using

Shadmon. For Example, claim 5, limitation "the position of each of the digits

represents one of the hierarchical depths" as the depth position in the key

represented by the node (Fig. 3A, col. 2, lines 10-12).

Conclusion

53.   **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within

TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sathyanarayan Pannala whose telephone number is

(571) 272-4115. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, John Breene can be reached on (571) 272-4107. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Sathyanarayan Pannala
Examiner
Art Unit 2167

srp
May 24, 2005

GRETA ROBINSON
PRIMARY EXAMINER